

Applicant: Stephen E. Terry
Application No.: 10/630,892

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

After the foregoing Amendment, claims 1-3 and 12-14 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1 and 12 have been amended to overcome the claim rejections and objections included in the Office Action dated October 19, 2005. The Applicants submit that no new matter has been introduced into the application by the Amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-3 and 12-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement because the specification describes a "common paging channel", rather than the "common channel" recited in claims 1 and 12. The Applicants fail to understand the Examiner's grounds for asserting that the use of the phrase "common channel" constitutes subject matter that is not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time of the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Certainly, if a "common paging channel" is disclosed, then a "common channel" is disclosed and would be easily understood by one skilled in the relevant art. In an attempt to appease the Examiner, the reference to a "common channel" has been deleted from claims 1 and 12.

Claims 2, 3, 13 and 14 are dependent upon claims 1 and 12, which the Applicants believe are allowable over the cited prior art of record for the same reasons provided above.

Based on the arguments presented above, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-3 and 12-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Applicant: Stephen E. Terry
Application No.: 10/630,892

Claim Objections

The Examiner objected to claims 1 and 12 because the phrase "the temporary user specific identities" does not clearly correlate to the phrase "the new temporary user specific identity". The Applicants have amended claims 1 and 12 to correct this informality. The withdrawal of the objection to the claims 1 and 12 is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that any additional minor formal matters need to be addressed in order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a telephone interview will help to materially advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing Amendment and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application, including claims 1-3 and 12-14, is in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen E. Terry

By Scott Wolinsky
Scott Wolinsky
Registration No. 46,413

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.
United Plaza, Suite 1600
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 568-6400
Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

SW/bbf